

On Representations of Braids as Automorphisms of Free Groups and Corresponding Linear Representations

Valeriy G. Bardakov and Paolo Bellingeri

ABSTRACT. In this survey we explore relationships between several different representations of braid groups as automorphisms of free groups as well as induced linear representations.

1. Introduction

In his first seminal paper on braid groups [1], Artin proposed an interpretation of the braid group B_n as a group of automorphisms of the free group F_n . This representation has several important properties: for instance it gives an immediate solution for the word problem, and, using Fox derivatives, one can construct Burau representation. Actually, the relevance of Artin representation in the study of braids, mapping class groups and knots is impressive and it motivated to look forward for generalizations or other “geometric” representations (see for instance [2, 5, 13–15]).

There are several other faithful representations of braids in terms of automorphisms of free groups: in the following we will recall in particular Perron-Vannier representation [13], Wada representations [15] and we will propose a new representation, that we will call Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu representation because inspired from [7].

We refer to [2] for a complete survey on braids seen as automorphisms of free groups and for algebraical proofs of well known results arising from this approach: the main aim of this note is to construct some reductions and extensions of above mentioned representations and to provide several algebraical relations between them. In particular we will show that they are all faithful, that extended Artin representation is conjugated to Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu representation (Theorem 2.3), that reduced Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu representation is conjugated to Artin representation (Proposition 2.5) and that extended Perron-Vannier representation is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F36, 20F05, 20F10.

The research of the first author was partially supported by by Laboratory of Quantum Topology of Chelyabinsk State University (Russian Federation government grant 14.Z50.31.0020), RFBR-14-01-91052, RFBR-13-01-00513 and Indo-Russian RFBR-13-01-92697.

The research of the second author was partially supported by French grant ANR-11-JS01-002-01.

The authors thank Warren Dicks and Eddy Godelle for an interesting interpretation of Perron-Vannier representation in terms of transvection automorphisms (Remark 3.4).

actually a Wada representation (Theorem 4.1). At the end of Section 2 we will construct a family of representations of B_n containing reduced Artin representation, extended Artin representation and Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu representation. In Section 5 we will provide linear representation of B_n induced by Perron-Vannier and Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu representations (see in particular Proposition 5.4): as a corollary we will show that extended Perron Vannier representation is not equivalent to extended Artin representation (Proposition 5.3).

2. Representations of Artin and Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu

Let F_m be the free group of rank m with the set of free generators $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$. Assume also that $\text{Aut}(F_m)$ is the automorphism group of F_m .

In the following we will show extensions and reductions of several representations of B_n into $\text{Aut}(F_m)$ (for some m) and we will establish relations between them; in particular we will remark when they are *conjugated*.

DEFINITION 2.1. *Let $n, m > 1$. Two representations $\rho, \rho' : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_m)$ are conjugated if there exists an automorphism $\chi : F_m \rightarrow F_m$ such that $\chi \circ \rho(\beta) \circ \chi^{-1} = \rho'(\beta)$ for all $\beta \in B_n$.*

We will consider also a weaker notion of equivalence for representations (see Definition 1.4 of [5]).

DEFINITION 2.2. *Let $n, m > 1$. Two representations $\rho, \rho' : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_m)$ are equivalent if there exist automorphisms $\chi : F_m \rightarrow F_m$ and $\mu : B_n \rightarrow B_n$ such that $\chi \circ \rho(\beta) \circ \chi^{-1} = \rho'(\mu(\beta))$ for all $\beta \in B_n$.*

When $n = m$ the first famous example of representation is the Artin representation of B_n . This representation

$$\rho_A : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n),$$

due to Artin himself, is defined associating to any generator σ_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, of B_n the following automorphism of F_n :

$$\rho_A(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i, \\ x_l \mapsto x_l, \end{cases} \quad l \neq i, i + 1.$$

Let us recall also that there is a geometrical interpretation of ρ_A : the braid group B_n is isomorphic to the mapping class group of the n -punctured disk, that we denote by \mathbb{D}_n , and Artin representation therefore corresponds to the induced action of B_n on $\pi_1(\mathbb{D}_n) = F_n$.

We will consider an extension of Artin representation: $\tilde{\rho}_A : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$, where $F_{n+1} = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, defining

$$\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i, \\ x_l \mapsto x_l, \end{cases} \quad l \neq i, i + 1.$$

for all generators $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$.

In [7], Fenn, Rolfsen and Zhu constructed an action of B_n on particular arcs of the n -punctured disk \mathbb{D}_n . This construction can be described as follows.

Let $\mathcal{P} = p_1, \dots, p_n$ be the set of punctures of \mathbb{D}_n and let A be an oriented arc with endpoints in \mathcal{P} . To A we can associate a word in the symbols I_0, I_1, \dots, I_n ,

$I_0^{-1}, I_1^{-1}, \dots, I_n^{-1}$. Let suppose that the punctures p_1, \dots, p_n on \mathbb{D}_n are on the real line and let s_0, \dots, s_{n+1} be the segments on Figure 1. Assume that A is transverse to the real line: starting from the initial point of A , say p_k , and write I_m when A crosses the segment s_m with increasing imaginary part and write I_m^{-1} otherwise. Since B_n acts on (isotopy classes of) arcs, B_n acts on the word $w(A)$ associated to A .¹




FIGURE 1. The group B_n acts on the segments s_0, \dots, s_{n+1} .

In particular the generator σ_i acts on any letter of $w(A)$ (suppose that A has different endpoints than i and $i + 1$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_i &\mapsto I_{i-1} I_i^{-1} I_{i+1}, \\ I_i^{-1} &\mapsto I_{i+1}^{-1} I_i I_{i-1}^{-1}, \\ I_l^{\pm 1} &\mapsto I_l^{\pm 1}, \quad l \neq i. \end{aligned}$$

This construction inspired us the following representation:

$$\rho_F : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1}), \quad F_{n+1} = \langle y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle,$$

which maps the generators of B_n to the following automorphisms

$$\rho_F(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \mapsto y_{i-1} y_i^{-1} y_{i+1}, \\ y_l \mapsto y_l, \end{cases} \quad l \neq i.$$

Remark that previous representation is evidently equivalent (see also the end of the section) to the reduced Artin representation (see [4, p. 121]) $\rho_{RA} : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$:

$$\rho_{RA}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \mapsto y_{i+1} y_i^{-1} y_{i-1}, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, j \neq i, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

One can easily check that $\rho_{RA}(\sigma_i) = \rho_F(\sigma_i^{-1})$. The relation between representations ρ_A and ρ_F can be algebraically described as follows.

THEOREM 2.3. *The representation $\rho_F : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ is conjugated to the representation $\tilde{\rho}_A : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$. In particular, ρ_F is faithful.*

PROOF. We define the elements $y_0 = x_0, y_1 = x_1^{-1} y_0 = x_1^{-1} x_0, y_2 = x_2^{-1} y_1 = x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_0, \dots, y_n = x_n^{-1} y_{n-1} = x_n^{-1} x_{n-1}^{-1} \dots x_1^{-1} x_0$ in $F_{n+1} = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$. It

¹We did not show that $w(A)$ is invariant up to isotopy. In [7] it is explained how to associate a unique word $w(A)$ to a given arc A .

is evident that these elements is a basis of F_{n+1} and the old basis can be express from new by the rules

$$x_0 = y_0, \quad x_1 = y_0y_1^{-1}, \quad x_2 = y_1y_2^{-1}, \dots, x_n = y_{n-1}y_n^{-1}.$$

Let us find the Artin representation in the new basis $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. We have²

$$\begin{aligned} y_k^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} &= y_k, \quad k < i, \\ y_i^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} &= (x_i^{-1}y_{i-1})^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} = x_i x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i^{-1} y_{i-1} = y_{i-1} y_i^{-1} y_{i+1}, \\ y_{i+1}^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} &= (x_{i+1}^{-1}y_i)^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} = x_i^{-1} y_{i-1} y_i^{-1} y_{i+1} = y_{i+1}, \\ y_l^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} &= (x_l^{-1}y_{l-1})^{\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)} = y_l, \quad l > i + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the Artin representation in the bases $\{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ coincides with the representation of Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu. \square

We can reformulate previous theorem saying that the representations $\tilde{\rho}_A$ and ρ_F are conjugated (see Definition 2.1).

Let $\varphi_{AF} \in \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ be the automorphism such that

$$\varphi_{AF}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A \varphi_{AF} = \rho_F,$$

or, in other words, such that for any generator x_i of F_{n+1} and for any $\sigma_j \in B_n$ we get

$$x_i^{\varphi_{AF}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_j) \varphi_{AF}} = x_i^{\rho_F(\sigma_j)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

We can also determine φ_{AF} :

$$\varphi_{AF} : \begin{cases} x_0 \mapsto x_0, \\ x_1 \mapsto x_0 x_1^{-1}, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_1 x_2^{-1}, \\ \vdots \\ x_n \mapsto x_{n-1} x_n^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\varphi_{AF}^{-1} : \begin{cases} x_0 \mapsto x_0, \\ x_1 \mapsto x_1^{-1} x_0, \\ x_2 \mapsto x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_0, \\ \vdots \\ x_n \mapsto x_n^{-1} x_{n-1}^{-1} \dots x_1^{-1} x_0, \end{cases}$$

and we can check the formulas

$$x_i^{\varphi_{AF}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_j) \varphi_{AF}} = x_i^{\rho_F(\sigma_j)}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

We know that every automorphism in $\rho_A(B_n)$ fixes the product $x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$. Hence every automorphism in $\tilde{\rho}_A(B_n)$ fixes the product $x_0^k x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ for arbitrary integer k . For the automorphisms in $\rho_F(B_n)$ we have a similar result.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Any automorphism in $\rho_F(B_n)$ fixes elements w in the subgroup $\langle x_0, x_n \rangle$.*

²In the following, given $\rho : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_m)$, we will note by $x^{\rho(y)}$ the action on $x \in F_m$ by $\rho(y)$.

PROOF. It is enough to show that elements $w = (x_0^k x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\varphi_{AF}} = x_0^{k+1} x_n^{-1}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are fixed by $\rho_F(B_n)$. We know that any element $x_0^k x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$ is fixed by every automorphism in $\tilde{\rho}_A(B_n)$. Hence, if we define w by the formula $w = (x_0^k x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\varphi_{AF}}$ then

$$w^{\varphi_{AF}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(B_n) \varphi_{AF}} = (x_0^k x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\tilde{\rho}_A(B_n) \varphi_{AF}} = (x_0^k x_1 x_2 \dots x_n)^{\varphi_{AF}} = w.$$

Since,

$$\varphi_{AF}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(B_n) \varphi_{AF} = \rho_F(B_n),$$

then

$$w^{\rho_F(B_n)} = w. \quad \square$$

We can define a representation $\rho_{RF} : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$ that is the composition of ρ_F and the homomorphism which forgets y_0 , i.e.

$$\rho_{RF}(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1^{-1} y_2, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq 1,$$

$$\rho_{RF}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \mapsto y_{i-1} y_i^{-1} y_{i+1}, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq 1, \quad 1 < i \leq n - 1.$$

We can provide a result similar to Theorem 2.3, relating Artin representation ρ_A to representation ρ_{RF} .

PROPOSITION 2.5. *The representation ρ_{RF} is conjugated to the Artin representation ρ_A . In particular, the representation ρ_{RF} is faithful.*

PROOF. Take the new generators of $F_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$:

$$y_1 = x_1^{-1}, \quad y_2 = x_2^{-1} y_1 = x_2^{-1} x_1^{-1}, \dots, \quad y_n = x_n^{-1} y_{n-1} = x_n^{-1} \dots x_1^{-1}.$$

Express the old generators

$$x_1 = y_1^{-1}, \quad x_2 = y_1 y_2^{-1}, \dots, \quad x_n = y_{n-1} y_n^{-1}.$$

Then the representation ρ_A in the new generators has the form

$$\rho_A(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1^{-1} y_2, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq 1,$$

$$\rho_A(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \mapsto y_{i-1} y_i^{-1} y_{i+1}, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq 1, \quad 1 < i \leq n - 1.$$

Therefore we recover representation ρ_{RF} . □

Generalizing previous results, we can construct a larger family of faithful representations that contains ρ_F and ρ_{RA} . For this purpose define the family of automorphisms

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k} : \begin{cases} x_0 \mapsto x_0^{\varepsilon_0}, \\ x_1 \mapsto (x_0^{k_1} x_1^{\varepsilon_1})^{\mu_1}, \\ x_2 \mapsto (x_1^{k_2} x_2^{\varepsilon_2})^{\mu_2}, \\ \vdots \\ x_n \mapsto (x_{n-1}^{k_n} x_n^{\varepsilon_n})^{\mu_n}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n), \quad \varepsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}, \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n), \quad \mu_j \in \{\pm 1\},$$

$$k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Then the inverse automorphism to $\varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}$ is equal to

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}^{-1} : \begin{cases} x_0 \mapsto x_0^{\varepsilon_0}, \\ x_1 \mapsto (x_0^{-\varepsilon_0 k_1} x_1^{\mu_1})^{\varepsilon_1}, \\ x_2 \mapsto ((x_0^{-\varepsilon_0 k_1} x_1^{\mu_1})^{-\varepsilon_1 k_2} x_2^{\mu_2})^{\varepsilon_2}, \\ \vdots \\ x_n \mapsto ((\dots ((x_0^{-\varepsilon_0 k_1} x_1^{\mu_1})^{-\varepsilon_1 k_2} x_2^{\mu_2})^{\varepsilon_2} \dots x_{n-1}^{\mu_{n-1}})^{-\varepsilon_{n-1} k_n} x_n^{\mu_n})^{\varepsilon_n}, \end{cases}$$

and we can define a representation of B_n by the rule

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(B_n) \varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}.$$

In particular, if we take

$$\varepsilon = (1, -1, -1, \dots, -1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, \quad \mu = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad k = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

then we can define

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(B_n) \varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}$$

which is exactly the representation $\rho_F(B_n)$; if we take

$$\varepsilon = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}, \quad \mu = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad k = (-1, -1, \dots, -1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

then

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(B_n) \varphi_{\varepsilon, \mu, k}$$

is the reduced Artin representation ρ_{RA} .

3. Perron-Vannier representation

Another interesting faithful representation of braids as automorphisms of free groups is the Perron-Vannier representation [13].

This representation comes from the mapping which sends B_n into the mapping class group of the surface Σ shown in Figure 2, where any generator σ_i of B_n is sent into the Dehn twist τ_i along the curve c_i . Perron-Vannier representation is therefore given by the induced action of τ_i ($i = 1, \dots, n - 1$) on $\pi_1(\Sigma) = F_{n-1}$ (see [6] for a detailed description of this action and for the geometrical interpretation of Σ as a branched 2-fold cover of \mathbb{C}).

Perron-Vannier representation $\rho_P : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ is algebraically defined as follows:

$$\rho_P(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} x_1 \rightarrow x_1, \\ x_j \rightarrow x_1^{-1} x_j, j \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and for $2 \leq i \leq n - 1$,

$$\rho_P(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_{i-1} \mapsto x_i, \\ x_i \mapsto x_i x_{i-1}^{-1} x_i, \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \quad j \neq i - 1, i. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 2. The generator σ_i of B_n is sent into the Dehn twist τ_i along the curve c_i .

The faithfulness of ρ_P was proven in [13] with topological arguments (see [6] for an algebraical proof). Starting from Perron-Vannier representation in [6] was constructed another faithful representation $\rho_{CP} : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ given algebraically by:

$$\rho_{CP}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_{i-1} \mapsto y_{i-1}y_i, \\ y_{i+1} \mapsto y_i^{-1}y_{i+1} \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq i-1, i+1,$$

where $F_{n-1} = \langle y_1, \dots, y_{n-1} \rangle$.

In particular, according to the previous definition, we have that:

$$\rho_{CP}(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1, \\ y_2 \mapsto y_1^{-1}y_2 \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j > 2.$$

and

$$\rho_{CP}(\sigma_{n-1}) : \begin{cases} y_j \mapsto y_j, & j < n-2, \\ y_{n-2} \mapsto y_{n-2}y_{n-1}, \\ y_{n-1} \mapsto y_{n-1} \end{cases}$$

We are interested to construct two extensions of Perron-Vannier representations in $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ and $\text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The following representation $\rho_{CP}^{(1)} : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$ is faithful:*

$$\rho_{CP}^{(1)}(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1, \\ y_2 \mapsto y_1^{-1}y_2 \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j > 2.$$

and for $i > 1$:

$$\rho_{CP}^{(1)}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_{i-1} \mapsto y_{i-1}y_i, \\ y_{i+1} \mapsto y_i^{-1}y_{i+1} \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq i-1, i+1.$$

where $F_n = \langle y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n \rangle$.

PROOF. The map $F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1}$ which "forgets" y_n induces a projection of $q : \rho_{CP}^{(1)}(B_n) \rightarrow \rho_{CP}(B_n)$ such that $q \circ \rho_{CP}^{(1)} = \rho_{CP}$. Since $\rho_{CP} : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$ is faithful, also $\rho_{CP}^{(1)}$ is injective. \square

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The following representation $\rho_{CP}^{(2)} : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ is faithful:*

$$\rho_{CP}^{(2)}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_{i-1} \mapsto y_{i-1}y_i, \\ y_{i+1} \mapsto y_i^{-1}y_{i+1} \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq i-1, i+1.$$

where $F_{n+1} = \langle y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n \rangle$.

PROOF. We consider the map $F_{n+1} \rightarrow F_{n-1}$ which "forgets" y_0 and y_n and we proceed as in previous proposition \square

A straightforward consequence of the definition of $\rho_{CP}^{(2)}$ is the following result.

COROLLARY 3.3. *The images of braids via $\rho_{CP}^{(2)}$ preserve the product $y_0 \cdots y_n$.*

In Proposition 5.3 we will show that ρ_F and extended Perron-Vannier representation $\rho_{CP}^{(2)}$ are not equivalent.

Question [12, Question 17.14]. Let $n \geq 4$. Find the minimal number m for which there is a faithful representation $B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_m)$. In particular, is it true that there is no a faithful representation $B_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_2)$?

The answer on the second part of this question is negative. For B_4 there is not a faithful representation in $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ and then the minimal number for B_4 is $m = 3$. Indeed, B_4 contains \mathbb{Z}^3 , which is generated by σ_1, σ_3 and $(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3)^4$. On the other side the group $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ is an extension of $\text{Out}(F_2) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by F_2 . Since $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and F_2 do not contain \mathbb{Z}^2 , $\text{Aut}(F_2)$ does not contain \mathbb{Z}^3 .

A (non faithful) representation $B_4 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_2)$ was given in [11]: this representation was extended in [10] to a (non faithful) representation $B_{2g} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{2g-2})$ arising from the action of B_{2g} on a particular ramified double covering.

REMARK 3.4. *Inspired by the work in [11], Godelle proposed a representation $\rho_G : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n-1})$, based on the notion of transvection automorphisms [8]. The representation ρ_G is defined as follows:*

$$\rho_G(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_{i-1} \mapsto x_i x_{i-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \mapsto x_i x_{i+1}, \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq i \pm 1.$$

for $i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$;

$$\rho_G(\sigma_l) : \begin{cases} x_{l-1} \mapsto x_l^{-1} x_{l-1}, \\ x_{l+1} \mapsto x_l^{-1} x_{l+1}, \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq l \pm 1.$$

for $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$;

$$\rho_G(\sigma_p) : \begin{cases} x_{p-1} \mapsto x_{p-1} x_p, \\ x_{p+1} \mapsto x_{p+1} x_p, \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq p \pm 1.$$

for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$;

$$\rho_G(\sigma_q) : \begin{cases} x_{q-1} \mapsto x_{q-1}x_q^{-1}, \\ x_{q+1} \mapsto x_{q+1}x_q^{-1}, \\ x_j \mapsto x_j, \end{cases} \quad j \neq q \pm 1.$$

for $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$,

and where $F_{n-1} = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle$. If we change the basis of F_{n-1} replacing x_i by $y_i = x_i^{-1}$ for $i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, x_l by $y_l = x_l$ for $l \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, x_p by $y_p = x_p$ for $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and x_q by $y_q = x_q^{-1}$ for $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ we obtain the Perron-Vannier representation ρ_{CP} .

4. Local type representations

In [15] Wada introduced a family of representations of B_n in $\text{Aut}(F_n)$ of the following special form: any generator σ_i of B_n acts trivially on generators of F_n except a pair of generators:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^{\sigma_i} &= u(x_i, x_{i+1}), \\ x_{i+1}^{\sigma_i} &= v(x_i, x_{i+1}), \\ x_j^{\sigma_i} &= x_j \quad j \neq i, i+1, \end{aligned}$$

where u and v are now words in the generators x_i, x_{i+1} , with $\langle x_i, x_{i+1} \rangle \simeq F_2$. Wada named them as shift type representations, but they are usually known as representation of local type.

Wada found seven families of representations of local type (we denote by ψ_j the corresponding representation):

- Type 1, ψ_1 : $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1}$;
- Type 2, ψ_2 : $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1}$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^{-1}$;
- Type 3, ψ_3 : $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1}^{-1}$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^{-1}$;
- Type 4, $\psi_{4,h}$: $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^h x_{i+1} x_i^{-h}$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i$;
- Type 5, ψ_5 : $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i$;
- Type 6, ψ_6 : $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i x_{i+1} x_i$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^{-1}$;
- Type 7, ψ_7 : $u(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_i^2 x_{i+1}$ and $v(x_i, x_{i+1}) = x_{i+1}^{-1} x_i^{-1} x_{i+1}$.

Types 1–3 are obviously not faithful, while Types 4–7 are faithful ([14], see also Remark 9.8 in [2] and June 19/2011 addenda in [3] for a useful survey on proofs of faithfulness) and can be used to define link invariants [5, 15]. The Artin representation is a particular case of representation of local type ($\rho_A = \psi_{4,1}$).

Wada conjectured that above families were the only local type representations, up to two symmetries, the involution of the free group F_n sending any generator x_i into its inverse and the involution of the braid group B_n sending any generator σ_j into its inverse: this conjecture was recently proved by Ito [9].

Actually the family of local type representations proposed by Wada is redundant: in [14] was remarked that Type 5 and Type 6 are conjugated and in [5] (Proposition A.1) was proved that type 5 and type 7 were equivalent, more precisely that it exists an automorphism $\chi : F_n \rightarrow F_n$ such that $\chi \circ \psi_7(\sigma_i) \circ \chi^{-1} = \psi_5(\mu(\sigma_i))$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, where $\mu : B_n \rightarrow B_n$ is the involution sending σ_i into σ_i^{-1} .

Similarly to this result we can prove a relation between Perron-Vannier representations and representations of local type.

THEOREM 4.1. *The extended Perron-Vannier representation $\rho_{CP}^{(1)}$ defined in Proposition 3.1 is equivalent to Wada representation ψ_5 .*

PROOF. Take in the group $F_n = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ new basis

$$y_1 = x_1x_2^{-1}, y_2 = x_2x_3^{-1}, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}x_n^{-1}, y_n = x_n.$$

We have the following action in this basis

$$\psi_5(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1, \\ y_2 \mapsto y_1y_2, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_5(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_{i-1} \mapsto y_{i-1}y_i^{-1}, \\ y_{i+1} \mapsto y_iy_{i+1}, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j \neq i-1, i+1, \end{cases} \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1.$$

Define the new representation $\psi_5^- : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_n)$ by the rule

$$\sigma_i \mapsto (\psi_5(\sigma_i))^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

then we get

$$\psi_5^-(\sigma_1) : \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1, \\ y_2 \mapsto y_1^{-1}y_2, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_5^-(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_{i-1} \mapsto y_{i-1}y_i, \\ y_{i+1} \mapsto y_i^{-1}y_{i+1}, \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j \neq i-1, i+1, \end{cases} \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1.$$

which is exactly Perron-Vannier representation $\rho_{CP}^{(1)}$. □

5. Linear representations, which are induced by the representations of Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu and Perron-Vannier

Consider the composition of homomorphisms

$$B_n \xrightarrow{\rho_F} \text{Aut}(F_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$$

and denote this composition by $\bar{\rho}_F = \rho_F \circ \pi$, i.e.

$$\bar{\rho}_F : B_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Also, denote

$$r_i = \bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

It is easy to check that

$$r_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|cc|cc|c} I_{i-1} & & \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{0} & \\ \hline & 1 & 1 & 0 & & \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & -1 & 0 & \mathbf{0} & \\ & 0 & 1 & 1 & & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{0} & & I_{n-i-1} & \end{array} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

where I_k is the unit matrix of the order k .

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The image of B_n under the homomorphism $\bar{\rho}_F : B_n \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n .*

PROOF. It is evident that $r_i^2 = I_{n+1}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Also, since $\bar{\rho}_F$ is a homomorphism then elements r_i are satisfy the braid relations. Hence

$$\bar{\rho}_F(B_n) = \langle \bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_1), \bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_2), \dots, \bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_{n-1}) \rangle = \langle r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1} \rangle = S_n.$$

□

Recall that the extended Perron-Vannier representation $\rho_{CP}^{(2)} : B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$, was defined as follows:

$$\rho_{CP}^{(2)}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_{i-1} \mapsto y_{i-1}y_i, \\ y_{i+1} \mapsto y_i^{-1}y_{i+1} \\ y_j \mapsto y_j, \quad j \neq i - 1, i + 1. \end{cases}$$

In this section we will prove that $\rho_{CP}^{(2)}$ is not equivalent to the Artin representation and hence it is not equivalent to the representation of Fenn-Rolfsen-Zhu. To do this define the homomorphism

$$\bar{\rho}_P : B_n \xrightarrow{\rho_{CP}^{(2)}} \text{Aut}(F_{n+1}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$$

and find the matrix

$$s_i = \bar{\rho}_P(\sigma_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

We see that

$$s_i = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} I_{i-1} & \mathbf{0} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ \mathbf{0} & & 1 & 1 & -1 & \mathbf{0} \\ & & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{0} & & & I_{n-i-1} \end{array} \right).$$

Hence

$$\bar{\rho}_P(B_n) = \langle s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1} \rangle.$$

The following lemma is trivial:

LEMMA 5.2. For any integer k holds

$$s_i^k = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|c} I_{i-1} & \mathbf{0} & & & \mathbf{0} \\ \hline & 1 & 0 & 0 & \\ \mathbf{0} & & k & 1 & -k & \mathbf{0} \\ & & 0 & 0 & 1 & \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & & \mathbf{0} & & & I_{n-i-1} \end{array} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

In particular, s_i has infinite order.

Using this lemma we can prove

PROPOSITION 5.3. The representations $\rho_{CP}^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_A$ are not equivalent.

PROOF. Assume, that they are equivalent. Hence, there is an automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ such that

$$\psi^{-1}\tilde{\rho}_A\psi = \rho_{CP}^{(2)}.$$

In particular,

$$\psi^{-1}\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)\psi = \rho_{CP}^{(2)}(\sigma_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

This is an equality in $\text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ and hence under the action of the homomorphism

$$\pi : \text{Aut}(F_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$$

it goes to an equality

$$\pi(\psi^{-1})\pi(\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i))\pi(\psi) = \pi(\rho_{CP}^{(2)}(\sigma_i))$$

in $GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\pi(\tilde{\rho}_A(\sigma_i)) = r_i$, $\pi(\rho_{CP}^{(2)}(\sigma_i)) = s_i$ then we have the equality

$$\pi(\psi^{-1}) r_i \pi(\psi) = s_i$$

for some matrix $\pi(\psi) \in GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z})$. But we know that $r_i^2 = I_n$ and s_i has infinite order. Hence this equality doesn't hold. \square

We end this section with a Burau-like representation associated to ρ_F : more precisely we will associate to

$$\rho_F : B_n \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(F_{n+1})$$

a linear representation

$$\bar{\rho}_F : B_n \longrightarrow GL_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}[t_0^\pm, t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]).$$

We will use the Magnus representation [4].

Define a ring homomorphism

$$\tau : \mathbb{Z}F_{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t_0^\pm, t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm],$$

by the rule $\tau(y_i) = t_i$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, and extending by linearity.

To use the Magnus representation the following equations must be true

$$\tau(y_i^{\rho_F(\sigma_j)}) = \tau(y_i), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

If $i \neq j$ then

$$y_i^{\rho_F(\sigma_j)} = y_i$$

and our equation is true. If $i = j$ then we have

$$\tau(y_j^{\rho_F(\sigma_j)}) = \tau(y_{j-1}y_j^{-1}y_{j+1}) = t_{j-1}t_j^{-1}t_{j+1}.$$

On the other hand $\tau(y_j) = t_j$. Hence we have the system of equations

$$t_{j-1}t_j^{-1}t_{j+1} = t_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

From this system we find

$$t_j = \sqrt[n]{t_0^{n-j}t_n^j}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

The linear representation $\bar{\rho}_F$ maps any element from B_n to an automorphism of free $n + 1$ -dimension $\mathbb{Z}[t_0^\pm, t_1^\pm, \dots, t_n^\pm]$ -module with basis $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. A braid $\beta \in B_n$ maps to automorphism

$$\bar{\rho}_F(\beta) : v_i \longmapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \tau\left(\frac{\partial y_i^{\rho_F(\beta)}}{\partial y_j}\right) v_j, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

It is evident that is enough define the automorphisms $\bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

We will write $y_i^{\sigma_k}$ instead of $y_i^{\rho_F(\sigma_k)}$. Calculating the Fox derivatives.

We see that if $i \neq k$ then $y_i^{\sigma_k} = y_i$ and

$$\frac{\partial y_i^{\sigma_k}}{\partial y_j} = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, \\ 1, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

If $k = i$ then we have

$$y_i^{\sigma_i} = y_{i-1}y_i^{-1}y_{i+1}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial y_i^{\sigma_i}}{\partial y_j} = 0, \quad j \neq i-1, i, i+1;$$

$$\frac{\partial y_i^{\sigma_i}}{\partial y_{i-1}} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial y_i^{\sigma_i}}{\partial y_i} = -y_{i-1}y_i^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial y_i^{\sigma_i}}{\partial y_{i+1}} = y_{i-1}y_i^{-1}.$$

Applying the map τ , we will have

$$\bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} v_i \mapsto v_{i-1} - t_{i-1}t_i^{-1}v_i + t_{i-1}t_i^{-1}v_{i+1}, \\ v_k \mapsto v_k, \end{cases} \quad k \neq i,$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Since $t_j = \sqrt[n]{t_0^{n-j}t_n^j}$ then

$$t_{i-1}t_i^{-1} = \sqrt[n]{t_0^{n-(i-1)}t_n^{i-1}} / \sqrt[n]{t_0^{n-i}t_n^i} = \sqrt[n]{t_0/t_n}.$$

Hence, if we define $t = \sqrt[n]{t_0/t_n}$ then we have:

PROPOSITION 5.4. *There exists a linear representation*

$$\bar{\rho}_F : B_n \longrightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]),$$

which is defined as follows:

$$\bar{\rho}_F(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} v_i \mapsto v_{i-1} - tv_i + tv_{i+1}, \\ v_k \mapsto v_k, \end{cases} \quad k \neq i,$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

References

- [1] Emil Artin, *Theorie der Zöpfe* (German), Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **4** (1925), no. 1, 47–72, DOI 10.1007/BF02950718. MR3069440
- [2] Lluís Bacardit and Warren Dicks, *Actions of the braid group, and new algebraic proofs of results of Dehornoy and Larue*, Groups Complex. Cryptol. **1** (2009), no. 1, 77–129, DOI 10.1515/GCC.2009.77. MR2502938 (2010a:20083)
- [3] <http://mat.uab.cat/~dicks/bacardit.html>.
- [4] Joan S. Birman, *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82. MR0375281 (51 #11477)
- [5] John Crisp and Luis Paris, *Representations of the braid group by automorphisms of groups, invariants of links, and Garside groups*, Pacific J. Math. **221** (2005), no. 1, 1–27, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2005.221.1. MR2194143 (2006k:20074)
- [6] John Crisp and Luis Paris, *Artin groups of type B and D*, Adv. Geom. **5** (2005), no. 4, 607–636, DOI 10.1515/advg.2005.5.4.607. MR2174484 (2006g:20056)
- [7] Roger Fenn, Dale Rolfsen, and Jun Zhu, *Centralisers in the braid group and singular braid monoid*, Enseign. Math. (2) **42** (1996), no. 1-2, 75–96. MR1395042 (97h:20047)
- [8] Eddy Godelle, *Représentation par des transvections des groupes d’Artin-Tits* (French, with English summary), Groups Geom. Dyn. **1** (2007), no. 2, 111–133, DOI 10.4171/GGD/7. MR2319454 (2008d:20068)
- [9] Tetsuya Ito, *The classification of Wada-type representations of braid groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), no. 9, 1754–1763, DOI 10.1016/j.jpaa.2012.12.010. MR3042635
- [10] Christian Kassel, *On an action of the braid group B_{2g+2} on the free group F_{2g}* , Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **23** (2013), no. 4, 819–831, DOI 10.1142/S0218196713400110. MR3078058
- [11] Christian Kassel and Christophe Reutenauer, *Sturmian morphisms, the braid group B_4 , Christoffel words and bases of F_2* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **186** (2007), no. 2, 317–339, DOI 10.1007/s10231-006-0008-z. MR2295123 (2007j:20029)
- [12] The Kurovka Notebook, *Unsolved Problems in Group Theory*, 18th ed., Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 2014.

- [13] B. Perron and J. P. Vannier, *Groupe de monodromie géométrique des singularités simples* (French), *Math. Ann.* **306** (1996), no. 2, 231–245, DOI 10.1007/BF01445249. MR1411346 (97j:32031)
- [14] Vladimir Shpilrain, *Representing braids by automorphisms*, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **11** (2001), no. 6, 773–777, DOI 10.1142/S0218196701000760. MR1880377 (2003a:20061)
- [15] Masaaki Wada, *Group invariants of links*, *Topology* **31** (1992), no. 2, 399–406, DOI 10.1016/0040-9383(92)90029-H. MR1167178 (94e:57014)

SOBOLEV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NOVOSIBIRSK STATE UNIVERSITY, NOVOSIBIRSK 630090, RUSSIA AND LABORATORY OF QUANTUM TOPOLOGY, CHELYABINSK STATE UNIVERSITY, BRAT'EV KASHIRINYKH STREET 129, CHELYABINSK 454001, RUSSIA.

E-mail address: bardakov@math.nsc.ru

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES NICOLAS ORESME, CNRS UMR 6139, UNIVERSITÉ DE CAEN BP 5186, F-14032 CAEN, FRANCE.

E-mail address: paolo.bellingeri@unicaen.fr